Statistics 451 (Fall 2013) Prof. Michael Kozdron

## Lecture #8: Independence and Conditional Probability

**Definition.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  be a probability space. The events  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  are said to be *independent* if

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{A\cap B\right\} = \mathbf{P}\left\{A\right\} \cdot \mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}.$$

A collection  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  is an *independent collection* if every finite subset J of I satisfies

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\bigcap_{i\in J}A_i\right\} = \prod_{i\in J}\mathbf{P}\left\{A_i\right\}.$$

We often say that  $(A_i)$  are *mutually independent*. Let  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  be two sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are *independent* if

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{A_{1}\cap A_{2}\right\}=\mathbf{P}\left\{A_{1}\right\}\cdot\mathbf{P}\left\{A_{2}\right\}$$

for every  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ .

**Example 8.1.** Let  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and let  $\mathcal{F} = 2^{\Omega}$ . Define the probability  $\mathbf{P} : \mathcal{F} \to [0, 1]$  by

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{A\right\} = \frac{|A|}{4}, \quad A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

In particular,

$$\mathbf{P}\{1\} = \mathbf{P}\{2\} = \mathbf{P}\{3\} = \mathbf{P}\{4\} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Let  $A = \{1, 2\}, B = \{1, 3\}, \text{ and } C = \{2, 3\}.$ 

• Since

$$\mathbf{P}\{A \cap B\} = \mathbf{P}\{1\} = \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \mathbf{P}\{A\} \cdot \mathbf{P}\{B\}$$

we conclude that A and B are independent.

• Since

$$\mathbf{P}\{A \cap C\} = \mathbf{P}\{2\} = \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \mathbf{P}\{A\} \cdot \mathbf{P}\{C\}$$

we conclude that A and C are independent.

• Since

$$\mathbf{P} \{ B \cap C \} = \mathbf{P} \{ 3 \} = \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \mathbf{P} \{ B \} \cdot \mathbf{P} \{ C \}$$

we conclude that B and C are independent.

However,

$$\mathbf{P} \{A \cap B \cap C\} = \mathbf{P} \{\emptyset\} = 0 \neq \mathbf{P} \{A\} \cdot \mathbf{P} \{B\} \cdot \mathbf{P} \{C\}$$

so that A, B, C are NOT independent. Thus, we see that the events A, B, C are pairwise independent but not mutually independent.

Notation. We often use *independent* as synonymous with *mutually independent*.

**Definition.** Let A and B be events with  $\mathbf{P}\{B\} > 0$ . The *conditional probability* of A given B is defined by

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{A|B\right\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\left\{A \cap B\right\}}{\mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}}.$$

**Theorem 8.2.** Let  $\mathbf{P} : \mathcal{F} \to [0, 1]$  be a probability and let  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  be events. If  $\mathbf{P} \{B\} > 0$ , then A and B are independent if and only if  $\mathbf{P} \{A|B\} = \mathbf{P} \{A\}$ .

*Proof.* To prove this theorem we must show both implications. Assume first that A and B are independent. Then by definition,

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{A\cap B\right\} = \mathbf{P}\left\{A\right\} \cdot \mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}.$$

But also by definition we have

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{A|B\right\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\left\{A \cap B\right\}}{\mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}}.$$

Thus, substituting the first expression into the second gives

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{A|B\right\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\left\{A\right\} \cdot \mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}}{\mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}} = \mathbf{P}\left\{A\right\}$$

as required. Conversely, suppose that  $\mathbf{P}\{A|B\} = \mathbf{P}\{A\}$ . By definition,

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{A|B\right\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\left\{A \cap B\right\}}{\mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}}$$

which implies that

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{A\right\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\left\{A \cap B\right\}}{\mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}}$$

and so  $\mathbf{P} \{A \cap B\} = \mathbf{P} \{A\} \cdot \mathbf{P} \{B\}$ . Thus, A and B are independent.

**Theorem 8.3.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  be a probability space, and suppose that  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  is an event with  $\mathbf{P} \{B\} > 0$ . The function  $\mathbf{Q} : \mathcal{F} \to [0, 1]$  defined by  $\mathbf{Q} \{A\} = \mathbf{P} \{A|B\}$  is a probability on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  called the conditional probability measure given B.

*Proof.* Define the set function  $\mathbf{Q} : \mathcal{F} \to [0, 1]$  by setting  $\mathbf{Q} \{A\} = \mathbf{P} \{A|B\}$ . In order to show that  $\mathbf{Q}$  is a probability, we must check both conditions in the definition. Since  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{Q}\left\{\Omega\right\} = \mathbf{P}\left\{\Omega|B\right\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\left\{\Omega\cap B\right\}}{\mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}}{\mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}} = 1.$$

If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{F}$  are pairwise disjoint, then

$$\mathbf{Q}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}A_i\right\} = \mathbf{P}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}A_i\middle|B\right\} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}}\mathbf{P}\left\{\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}A_i\right)\cap B\right\} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}}\mathbf{P}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}(A_i\cap B)\right\}.$$

However, since the  $(A_i)$  are pairwise disjoint, so too are the  $(A_i \cap B)$ . Thus, by countable additivity of the probability **P**, we see

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_i \cap B)\right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left\{A_i \cap B\right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left\{A_i | B\right\} \mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}$$

which implies that

$$\mathbf{Q}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}A_i\right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{P}\left\{A_i|B\right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{Q}\left\{A_i\right\}$$

as required.

**Definition.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  be a probability space. A collection of events  $(E_n)$  is called a *partition* of  $\Omega$  if  $\mathbf{P} \{E_n\} > 0$  for all n, the events  $(E_n)$  are pairwise disjoint, and

$$\bigcup_{n} E_n = \Omega.$$

**Theorem 8.4** (Partition Theorem). If  $(E_n)$  partition  $\Omega$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{A\right\} = \sum_{n} \mathbf{P}\left\{A|E_{n}\right\} \mathbf{P}\left\{E_{n}\right\}.$$

*Proof.* Notice that

$$A = A \cap \Omega = A \cap \left(\bigcup_{n} E_{n}\right) = \bigcup_{n} (A \cap E_{n})$$

since  $(E_n)$  partition  $\Omega$ . Since the  $(E_n)$  are disjoint, so too are the  $(A \cap E_n)$ . Therefore, by countable additivity of the probability **P**, we find

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{A\right\} = \mathbf{P}\left\{\bigcup_{n} (A \cap E_{n})\right\} = \sum_{n} \mathbf{P}\left\{A \cap E_{n}\right\}.$$

By the definition of conditional probability,  $\mathbf{P} \{A \cap E_n\} = \mathbf{P} \{A | E_n\} \mathbf{P} \{E_n\}$  and so

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{A\right\} = \sum_{n} \mathbf{P}\left\{A|E_{n}\right\} \mathbf{P}\left\{E_{n}\right\}$$

as required.

Armed with the partition theorem and the definition of conditional probability, we can now derive Bayes' theorem. Since  $A \cap B = B \cap A$  we see that  $\mathbf{P} \{A \cap B\} = \mathbf{P} \{B \cap A\}$  and so by the definition of conditional probability

$$\mathbf{P} \{A|B\} \mathbf{P} \{B\} = \mathbf{P} \{B|A\} \mathbf{P} \{A\}$$

Assuming that  $\mathbf{P}\{A\} > 0$ , solving gives

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{B|A\right\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\left\{A|B\right\}\mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}}{\mathbf{P}\left\{A\right\}}$$

If  $\mathbf{P}\{B\} \in (0,1)$ , then since  $(B, B^c)$  partition  $\Omega$ , we can use the partition theorem to conclude

$$\mathbf{P} \{A\} = \mathbf{P} \{A|B\} \mathbf{P} \{B\} + \mathbf{P} \{A|B^c\} \mathbf{P} \{B^c\}$$

and so

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{B|A\right\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\left\{A|B\right\}\mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\}}{\mathbf{P}\left\{A|B\right\}\mathbf{P}\left\{B\right\} + \mathbf{P}\left\{A|B^{c}\right\}\mathbf{P}\left\{B^{c}\right\}} \mathbf{P}\left\{B^{c}\right\}}$$

More generally, this reasoning leads to the full version of Bayes' theorem.

**Theorem 8.5** (Bayes' Theorem). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  be a probability space. If  $(E_n)$  partition  $\Omega$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mathbf{P} \{A\} > 0$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{E_{j}|A\right\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\left\{A|E_{j}\right\}\mathbf{P}\left\{E_{j}\right\}}{\sum_{n}\mathbf{P}\left\{A|E_{n}\right\}\mathbf{P}\left\{E_{n}\right\}}.$$

*Proof.* As above, we have

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{E_{j}|A\right\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\left\{A|E_{j}\right\}\mathbf{P}\left\{E_{j}\right\}}{\mathbf{P}\left\{A\right\}}.$$

By the partition theorem, we have

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{A\right\} = \sum_{n} \mathbf{P}\left\{A|E_{n}\right\} \mathbf{P}\left\{E_{n}\right\}$$

and so combining these two equations proves the theorem.