## Statistics 351–Probability I Fall 2007 (200730) Final Exam Solutions

Instructor: Michael Kozdron

**1. (a)** We see that  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) \ge 0$  for all x, y, and that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dx \, dy = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{y} 8xy \, dx \, dy = \int_{0}^{1} 4y^{3} \, dy = y^{4} \Big|_{0}^{1} = 1.$$

Thus,  $f_{X,Y}$  is a legitimate density.

1. (b) We compute

$$f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dy = \int_x^1 8xy \, dy = 4x(1-x^2), \quad 0 < x < 1$$

1. (c) We compute

$$E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) \, dx = \int_0^1 x \cdot 4x(1-x^2) \, dx = \frac{4}{3} - \frac{4}{5} = \frac{8}{15}$$

1. (d) We compute

$$f_{Y|X=x}(y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_X(x)} = \frac{8xy}{4x(1-x^2)} = \frac{2y}{(1-x^2)}, \quad x < y < 1.$$

1. (e) We compute

$$E(Y|X=x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_{Y|X=x}(y) \, dy = \int_{x}^{1} y \cdot \frac{2y}{(1-x^2)} \, dy = \frac{2(1-x^3)}{3(1-x^2)}$$

1. (f) Using properties of conditional expectation (Theorem II.2.1), we compute

$$E(Y) = E(E(Y|X)) = E\left(\frac{2(1-X^3)}{3(1-X^2)}\right) = \int_0^1 \frac{2(1-x^3)}{3(1-x^2)} \cdot 4x(1-x^2)dx = \frac{8}{3}\int_0^1 x - x^4 dx = \frac{4}{5}$$

2. (a) Let

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that  $\mathbf{Y} = B\mathbf{X}$ . By Theorem V.3.1,  $\mathbf{Y}$  is MVN with mean

$$B\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and covariance matrix

$$B\mathbf{\Lambda}B' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 8 \\ 8 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**2. (b)** Note that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 8\\ 8 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = 70 - 64 = 6$$

so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 14 & 8\\ 8 & 5 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{6} & -\frac{8}{6}\\ -\frac{8}{6} & \frac{14}{6} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, we can conclude

$$f_{Y_1,Y_2}(y_1,y_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{5}{6}y_1^2 - \frac{8}{3}y_1y_2 + \frac{7}{3}y_2^2\right)\right\}.$$

**2. (c)** Since

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 8\\ 8 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

we can immediately conclude that

$$\varphi(t_1, t_2) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(14t_1^2 + 16t_1t_2 + 5t_2^2\right)\right\}.$$

3. (a) Using the results of Section V.6 (in particular, equation (6.2) on page 130) we know that

$$X_2|X_1 = x \in \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_2 + \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}(x - \mu_1), \sigma_2^2(1 - \rho^2)\right).$$

Since  $\sigma_1^2 = 1, \, \sigma_2^2 = 25$ , we conclude that

$$\rho = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X_1, X_2)}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} = \frac{\alpha}{5}.$$

Therefore,

$$16 = \operatorname{Var}(X_2|X_1) = \sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2) = 25\left(1-\frac{\alpha^2}{25}\right) = 25-\alpha^2$$

implying that  $\alpha^2 = 9$ . Hence, the two possible values of  $\alpha$  are  $\alpha = 3$  and  $\alpha = -3$ .

3. (b) From (a), we conclude that

$$1 = \mathbb{E}(X_2 | X_1 = 6) = \mu_2 + \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} (x - \mu_1) = \beta + \frac{\alpha}{5} \cdot \frac{5}{1} (6 - 5) = \beta + \alpha.$$

Therefore, if  $\alpha = 3$ , then  $\beta = -2$  and if  $\alpha = -3$ , then  $\beta = 4$ .

4. (a) In order to find the eigenvalues of  $\Lambda$ , we must find those values of  $\lambda$  such that  $\det(\Lambda - \lambda I) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\det(\mathbf{\Lambda}-\lambda I) = \det\begin{pmatrix} 6-\lambda & 2\\ 2 & 9-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = (6-\lambda)(9-\lambda)-4 = \lambda^2 - 15\lambda + 54 - 4 = \lambda^2 - 15\lambda + 50 = (\lambda - 5)(\lambda - 10)$$

so that the eigenvalues of  $\Lambda$  are  $\lambda_1 = 5$  and  $\lambda_2 = 10$ .

**4. (b)** Since  $\lambda_1 = 5$ ,

$$\left(\mathbf{\Lambda} - \lambda_1 I \,|\, 0\right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & 0\\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{array}\right) \sim \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

and since  $\lambda_2 = 10$ ,

$$(\mathbf{\Lambda} - \lambda_2 I \,|\, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 2 & | & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we conclude that eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

respectively. Therefore, the diagonal matrix is

$$D = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0\\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0\\ 0 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the orthogonal matrix is

$$C = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ ||\mathbf{v}_1|| \\ ||\mathbf{v}_2|| \end{array}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{5} & 2/\sqrt{5} \\ -2/\sqrt{5} & 1/\sqrt{5} \end{pmatrix}$$

since  $||\mathbf{v}_1|| = ||\mathbf{v}_2|| = \sqrt{5}$ .

4. (c) If  $\mathbf{Y} = C'\mathbf{X}$ , then by Theorem V.3.1,  $\mathbf{Y}$  is MVN with mean  $C'\boldsymbol{\mu}$  and covariance matrix  $C'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}C'' = C'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}C = D$  using our result from (b). Hence, we conclude

$$\mathbf{Y} \in N\left(\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}5&0\\0&10\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

4. (d) Since Y is multivariate normal we know from Definition I that  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are each onedimensional normals. We also know from Theorem V.7.1 that the components of Y are independent if and only if they are uncorrelated. From (c) we know that  $Cov(Y_1, Y_2) = 0$  so that  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are, in fact, independent.

5. Notice that the density function of Y is non-zero only for 0 < y < 1 which implies that the density function for X is non-zero only for 0 < x < 1. Therefore, suppose that 0 < y < 1 is fixed so that  $f_{X|Y=y}(x) = 1/y$ , 0 < x < y. If we now fix 0 < x < 1, then the range of allowable y is x < y < 1. Hence, by definition,

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_{X|Y=y}(x)f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{y} \cdot 20y^3(1-y) = 20y^2(1-y)$$

provided that 0 < x < y < 1. Thus, the marginal density function of X is

$$f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy = \int_x^1 20y^2(1-y) dy = \left(\frac{20}{3}y^3 - \frac{20}{4}y^4\right) \Big|_x^1 = \frac{5}{3} - \frac{20}{3}x^3 + 5x^4$$

provided that 0 < x < 1.

6. If U = g(X) and V = h(Y), then solving for X and Y gives  $X = g^{-1}(U)$  and  $Y = h^{-1}(V)$ , so that the Jacobian of this transformation is

$$J = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} g^{-1}(u) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial v} h^{-1}(v) \end{vmatrix} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} g^{-1}(u) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial v} h^{-1}(v).$$

By Theorem I.2.1, the joint density of (U, V)' is therefore given by

$$f_{U,V}(u,v) = f_{X,Y}(g^{-1}(u), h^{-1}(v)) \cdot |J| = f_X(g^{-1}(u)) \cdot f_Y(h^{-1}(v)) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u} g^{-1}(u) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial v} h^{-1}(v)$$

by the assumed independence of X and Y. Since we can write  $f_{U,V}(u,v)$  as a function of u only multiplied by a function of v only we conclude that U and V are, in fact, independent with

$$f_U(u) = f_X(g^{-1}(u)) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u} g^{-1}(u) \quad \text{and} \quad f_V(v) = f_Y(h^{-1}(v)) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial v} h^{-1}(v).$$

It is worth noting that these calculations are allowed since g and h are strictly increasing and differentiable.

## 7. Observe that the expression

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1, x_2) \log \left( f_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1, x_2) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \, \mathrm{d}x_2$$

exactly equals  $\mathbb{E}(\log (f_{\mathbf{X}}(X_1, X_2))))$ . Since

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det[\mathbf{\Lambda}]}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\overline{x}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \overline{x}\},\$$

we see that

$$\mathbb{E}(\log (f_{\mathbf{X}}(X_1, X_2))) = -\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\log(\det[\mathbf{\Lambda}]) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{X}).$$

Now, observe that if

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \\ \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{then} \quad \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sigma_1^2 & -\rho/\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \\ -\rho/\sigma_1 \sigma_2 & 1/\sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and so

$$\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{X} = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \left( \frac{X_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} - 2\rho \frac{X_1 X_2}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} + \frac{X_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right)$$

Taking expected values gives

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{X_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} - 2\rho\frac{X_1X_2}{\sigma_1\sigma_2} + \frac{X_2^2}{\sigma_2^2}\right) = \frac{1}{1-\rho^2}(1-2\rho^2+1) = 2.$$

Combining everything, we conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}(\log (f_{\mathbf{X}}(X_1, X_2))) = -\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\log(\det[\mathbf{\Lambda}]) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{X}) = -\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\log(\det[\mathbf{\Lambda}]) - 1$$
  
and so  
$$-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1, x_2)\log (f_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1, x_2)) \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \, \mathrm{d}x_2 = 1 + \log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\log(\det[\mathbf{\Lambda}])$$

$$-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}f_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1, x_2)\log(f_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1, x_2))\,\mathrm{d}x_1\,\mathrm{d}x_2 = 1 + \log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\log(\det[\mathbf{A}])$$

as required.

8. If

$$X_j = \sum_{n=1}^{j} S_{n-1}(S_n - S_{n-1}).$$

then

$$X_{j+1} = X_j + S_j (S_{j+1} - S_j).$$

Therefore,

$$E(X_{j+1}|S_j) = \mathbb{E}(X_j + S_j(S_{j+1} - S_j)|S_j) = \mathbb{E}(X_j|S_j) + \mathbb{E}(S_j(S_{j+1} - S_j)|S_j) = X_j + S_j \mathbb{E}(S_{j+1}|S_j) - S_j^2$$

where we have "taken out what is known" three times. Furthermore,

$$\mathbb{E}(S_{j+1}|S_j) = \mathbb{E}(S_j + Y_{j+1}|S_j) = S_j + \mathbb{E}(Y_{j+1}) = S_j$$

where we have again "taken out what is known," and have used the facts that  $Y_{j+1}$  and  $S_j$  are independent and  $\mathbb{E}(Y_{j+1}) = 0$ . Combining everything gives

$$E(X_{j+1}|S_j) = X_j + S_j \mathbb{E}(S_{j+1}|S_j) - S_j^2 = X_j + S_j^2 - S_j^2 = X_j$$

which proves that  $\{X_j, j = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$  is, in fact, a martingale.

**9.** The joint density of  $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, X_{(3)}, X_{(4)}$  is

$$f_{X_{(1)},X_{(2)},X_{(3)},X_{(4)}}(y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4) = 4! = 24$$

provided that  $0 < y_1 < y_2 < y_3 < y_4 < 1$ . Thus, the joint density of  $X_{(2)}, X_{(3)}$  is

$$f_{X_{(2)},X_{(3)}}(y_2,y_3) = \int_{y_3}^1 \int_0^{y_2} 24dy_1dy_2 = 24y_2(1-y_3)$$

provided that  $0 < y_2 < y_3 < 1$ . If  $U = X_{(2)}/X_{(3)}$  and  $V = X_{(3)}$ , then solving for  $X_{(2)}$  and  $X_{(3)}$  gives  $X_{(2)} = UV$  and  $X_{(3)} = V$  so that the Jacobian of this transformation is

$$J = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial v} \\ \frac{\partial y_3}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial y_3}{\partial v} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} v & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = v.$$

By Theorem I.2.1, the joint density of (U, V)' is therefore given by

$$f_{U,V}(u,v) = f_{X_{(2)},X_{(3)}}(uv,v) \cdot |J| = 24uv(1-v) \cdot v = 24uv^2(1-v)$$

provided that 0 < u < 1 and 0 < v < 1. Notice that U and V are, in fact, independent with  $f_U(u) = 2u, 0 < u < 1$ , and  $f_V(v) = 12v^2(1-v), 0 < v < 1$ . Finally, we see that the density of  $W = U^2$  is

$$f_W(w) = \frac{d}{dw} P(W \le w) = \frac{d}{dw} P(U \le \sqrt{w}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} f_U(\sqrt{w}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} \cdot 2\sqrt{w} = 1$$

provided that 0 < w < 1. In other words,  $(X_{(2)}/X_{(3)})^2 \in U(0,1)$  as required.

10. (a) Since  $X_5 \in Po(5)$ , we find

$$P(X_5 = j) = \frac{5^j}{j!}e^{-5}, \quad j = 1, 2$$

10. (b) By adding and subtracting  $X_2$ , we compute

$$Var(X_5|X_2 = 1) = Var(X_5 - X_2 + X_2|X_2 = 1) = Var(X_5 - X_2|X_2 = 1) + Var(X_2|X_2 = 1)$$
$$= Var(X_5 - X_2) = 3$$

using the fact that  $X_5 - X_2 \in Po(3)$  and  $X_2$  are independent.

10. (c) By adding and subtracting  $X_2$ , we compute

$$Cov(X_2, X_4) = Cov(X_2, X_4 - X_2 + X_2) = Cov(X_2, X_4 - X_2) + Cov(X_2, X_2) = 0 + Var(X_2)$$

using the fact that the increments  $X_4 - X_2$  and  $X_2$  are independent. Since  $X_2 \in Po(2)$  we know  $Var(X_2) = 2$  so that

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X_2, X_4) = \operatorname{Var}(X_2) = 2.$$

10. (d) By adding and subtracting  $X_2$ , we compute

$$E(X_4|X_2=j) = E(X_4 - X_2 + X_2|X_2=j) = E(X_4 - X_2|X_2=j) + E(X_2|X_2=j) = E(X_4 - X_2) + j$$

where we have used the facts that  $E(X_4 - X_2|X_2 = j) = E(X_4 - X_2)$  since  $X_4 - X_2$  and  $X_2$  are independent, and  $E(X_2|X_2 = j) = j$  by "taking out what is known." (See Theorems II.2.1 and II.2.2.) Since  $X_4 - X_2 \in Po(2)$  we know  $E(X_4 - X_2) = 2$  so that

$$E(X_4|X_2 = j) = 2 + j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

11. (a) Let  $\{X_t, t \ge 0\}$  denote the Poisson process with intensity 1 according to which Jessica buys pairs of shoes (where t measures weeks). The random number of shoes that Jessica buys in a year is  $X_{52}$ . Since  $X_t \in \text{Po}(t)$  for all t by the Poisson process assumption, we conclude that  $\mathbb{E}(X_{52}) = 52$ .

11. (b) If we use the fact that a Poisson process resets at fixed times, then the probability that she bought 3 pairs of shoes during the first week of February given that she bought 8 pairs during the four weeks of February is

$$P(X_1 = 3 | X_4 = 8) = \frac{P(X_1 = 3, X_4 = 8)}{P(X_4 = 8)} = \frac{P(X_1 = 3, X_4 - X_1 = 5)}{P(X_4 = 8)} = \frac{P(X_1 = 3)P(X_4 - X_1 = 5)}{P(X_4 = 8)}.$$

Since  $X_1 \in \text{Po}(1)$ , we find

$$P(X_1 = 3) = \frac{1}{3!}e^{-1},$$

since  $X_4 - X_1 \in \text{Po}(3)$ , we find

$$P(X_4 - X_1 = 5) = \frac{3^5}{5!}e^{-3},$$

and since  $X_4 \in Po(4)$ , we find

$$P(X_4 = 8) = \frac{4^8}{8!}e^{-2}$$

Thus, the required probability is

$$P(X_1 = 3 | X_4 = 8) = \frac{\frac{1}{3!}e^{-1} \cdot \frac{3^5}{5!}e^{-3}}{\frac{4^8}{8!}e^{-2}} = \frac{8!}{3!5!} \cdot \frac{3^5}{4^8}$$