Consider Problem \#5 on Assignment \#4 concerning the ranking of countries at the Olympics.

The "official" ranking as done by the IOC is to rank countries by the colour of the medals won. That is, countries are first ranked by gold medals, then by silver medals, and finally by bronze medals. In contrast, the CBC chose to rank countries on the basis of total medals won. Although the CBC ranking system is "unofficial," it has the advantage that Canada places third overall in comparison to its fifth place finish in the IOC rankings.

Based on the data for the 2006 Winter Olympics in Turin, can we decide statistically which of two proposed ranking systems (the CBC rank or the IOC rank) more fairly determines the winner?

## Ranking By Total Medals Won (CBC Rank)

| Country | Gold | Silver | Bronze | TOTAL | Rank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Germany | 11 | 12 | 6 | 29 | 1 |
| United States | 9 | 9 | 7 | 25 | 2 |
| Canada | 7 | 10 | 7 | 24 | 3 |
| Austria | 9 | 7 | 7 | 23 | 4 |
| Russia | 8 | 6 | 8 | 22 | 5 |
| Norway | 2 | 8 | 9 | 19 | 6 |
| Sweden | 7 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 7.5 |
| Switzerland | 5 | 4 | 5 | 14 | 7.5 |
| China | 2 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 10 |
| Italy | 5 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 10 |
| South Korea | 6 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 10 |
| Finland | 0 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 13 |
| France | 3 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 13 |
| Netherlands | 3 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 13 |
| Czech Republic | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 15 |
| Croatia | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 16.5 |
| Estonia | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16.5 |
| Australia | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 19 |
| Poland | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 19 |
| Ukraine | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 19 |
| Belarus | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 24.5 |
| Bulgaria | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 24.5 |
| Great Britain | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 24.5 |
| Japan | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24.5 |
| Latvia | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 24.5 |
| Slovakia | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 24.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Ranking By Colour (IOC Rank)

| Country | Gold | Silver | Bronze | Rank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Germany | 11 | 12 | 6 | 1 |
| United States | 9 | 9 | 7 | 2 |
| Austria | 9 | 7 | 7 | 3 |
| Russia | 8 | 6 | 8 | 4 |
| Canada | 7 | 10 | 7 | 5 |
| Sweden | 7 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| South Korea | 6 | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| Switzerland | 5 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| Italy | 5 | 0 | 6 | 9 |
| France | 3 | 2 | 4 | 10.5 |
| Netherlands | 3 | 2 | 4 | 10.5 |
| Estonia | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Norway | 2 | 8 | 9 | 13 |
| China | 2 | 4 | 5 | 14 |
| Czech Republic | 1 | 2 | 1 | 15 |
| Croatia | 1 | 2 | 0 | 16 |
| Australia | 1 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| Japan | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Finland | 0 | 6 | 3 | 19 |
| Poland | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| Belarus | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22.5 |
| Bulgaria | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22.5 |
| Great Britain | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22.5 |
| Slovakia | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22.5 |
| Ukraine | 0 | 0 | 2 | 25 |
| Latvia | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Paired Ranks

| Country | CBC Rank | IOC Rank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Germany | 1 | 1 |
| United States | 2 | 2 |
| Canada | 3 | 5 |
| Austria | 4 | 3 |
| Russia | 5 | 4 |
| Norway | 6 | 13 |
| Sweden | 7.5 | 6 |
| Switzerland | 7.5 | 8 |
| China | 10 | 14 |
| Italy | 10 | 9 |
| South Korea | 10 | 7 |
| Finland | 13 | 19 |
| France | 13 | 10.5 |
| Netherlands | 13 | 10.5 |
| Czech Republic | 15 | 15 |
| Croatia | 16.5 | 16 |
| Estonia | 16.5 | 12 |
| Australia | 19 | 17 |
| Poland | 19 | 20 |
| Ukraine | 19 | 25 |
| Belarus | 24.5 | 22.5 |
| Bulgaria | 24.5 | 22.5 |
| Great Britain | 24.5 | 22.5 |
| Japan | 24.5 | 18 |
| Latvia | 24.5 | 26 |
| Slovakia | 24.5 | 22.5 |

Question: Is there a difference between the two ranking systems? Does one system more fairly determine the "winner" than the other system?

To answer this question we will conduct a non-parametric test for paired comparisons based on ranks. That is, we will conduct a Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test (see Section 4.2 and notes from October 23). Unfortunately, we did not discuss the case of ties in the data and so we cannot do any calculations by hand. However, we can have SAS perform the test!

```
data olympics;
input pair CBC IOC @@;
diff=CBC-IOC;
datalines;
1 1 2 2 3 5 4 3 5 4 6 13 7.5 6 7.5 8 10 14 10 9 10 7 13 19
13 10.5 13 10.5 15 15 16.5 16 16.5 12 19 17 19 20 19 25
24.5 22.5 24.5 22.5 24.5 22.5 24.5 18 24.5 26 24.5 22.5
;
proc univariate data=olympics;
var diff;
run;
```

```
The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: diff
```

Moments

Variable: diff

| Quantiles | (Definition 5) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Quantile | Estimate |
| $10 \%$ | -6.0 |
| $5 \%$ | -7.0 |
| $1 \%$ | -7.0 |
| $0 \%$ Min | -7.0 |

Extreme Observations

| --- Lowest---- |  | ----Highest--- |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Value | Obs | Value | Obs |
| -7.0 | 4 | 1.0 | 13 |
| -6.0 | 8 | 1.5 | 17 |
| -4.0 | 6 | 2.0 | 12 |
| -2.5 | 9 | 2.0 | 14 |

The UNIVARIATE Procedure
Variable: diff

## Extreme Observations

----Lowest----
Value Obs

| -2.0 | 15 | 6.5 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Hence, the test of $H_{0}$ : no difference between the two systems and $H_{A}$ : some difference between the two systems procuces a two-sided $p$-value of 0.1768 . This does not provide signicant evidence against $H_{0}$ and so our conclusion is that we cannot reject $H_{0}$. Hence, based on this data, there is no significant difference between the two systems.

We need to be a little concerned about the results, however, because of the ties in the data. Notice that there are two types of ties: (i) ties in rank within a given system, and (ii) the same rank in both system. In the second case, SAS chooses to ignore the differences of 0 . As noted in our text, this can be problematic.

