

**Important Remark:** The factorizations of  $L$  into  $L = g \cdot h$  are *not* unique. Many answers are possible.

**Important Remark:** Any one-to-one function of a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  is also sufficient for  $\theta$ .

(9.30) If  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are iid  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  random variables each with density

$$f_Y(y|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\},$$

then the likelihood function is

$$L(\mu, \sigma^2) = (2\pi)^{-n/2}(\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2\right\}.$$

(a) If  $\mu$  is unknown, and  $\sigma^2$  is known, then with

$$u = \bar{y}, \quad g(u, \mu) = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (2\mu n u - \mu^2)\right\},$$

$$h(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (2\pi)^{-n/2}(\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2\right\},$$

the Factorization Theorem implies  $\bar{Y}$  is sufficient for  $\mu$ .

(b) If  $\mu$  is known, and  $\sigma^2$  is unknown, then with

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2, \quad g(u, \sigma^2) = (\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} u\right\},$$

$$h(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (2\pi)^{-n/2},$$

the Factorization Theorem implies  $\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mu)^2$  is sufficient for  $\sigma^2$ .

(c) If both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown, then with

$$u = (u_1, u_2) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2\right),$$

$$g(u, (\mu, \sigma^2)) = g((u_1, u_2), (\mu, \sigma^2)) = (\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (2\mu u_1 + u_2 - \mu^2)\right\},$$

$$h(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (2\pi)^{-n/2},$$

the Factorization Theorem implies  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i, \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2\right)$  is jointly sufficient for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

**(9.34)** If  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are iid geometric random variables each with density

$$f_Y(y|p) = p(1-p)^y,$$

for  $y = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , then the likelihood function is

$$L(p) = p(1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}.$$

If

$$u = \bar{y}, \quad g(u, p) = p(1-p)^{nu}, \quad \text{and} \quad h(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 1,$$

then since  $L(p) = g(u, p) \cdot h(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , we conclude by the Factorization Theorem that  $\bar{Y}$  is sufficient for  $p$ .

**(9.36)** If  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are iid each with density

$$f_Y(y|\alpha, \beta) = \alpha\beta^\alpha y^{-(\alpha+1)}$$

for  $y \geq \beta$ , then for fixed  $\beta$  the likelihood function is

$$L(\alpha) = \alpha^n \beta^{n\alpha} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{-(\alpha+1)}.$$

If

$$u = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i, \quad g(u, \alpha) = \alpha^n \beta^{n\alpha} u^{-(\alpha+1)}, \quad \text{and} \quad h(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 1,$$

then since  $L(\alpha) = g(u, \alpha) \cdot h(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , we conclude by the Factorization Theorem that  $\prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$  is sufficient for  $\alpha$ .

**(9.74) (a)** If  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are a random sample from the density function

$$f_Y(y|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} r y^{r-1} e^{-y^r/\theta}, \quad y > 0$$

where  $\theta > 0$  is a parameter, then the likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_Y(y_i|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} r y_i^{r-1} e^{-y_i^r/\theta} = \theta^{-n} \cdot r^n \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{r-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^r\right).$$

If

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^r, \quad g(u, \theta) = \theta^{-n} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{u}{\theta}\right), \quad h(y_1, \dots, y_n) = r^n \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{r-1},$$

then the Factorization Theorem implies  $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^r$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

**(c)** Since the MLE obtained in **(b)**, namely

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^r,$$

is a (one-to-one function of the) sufficient statistic from **(a)**, we conclude that if it is unbiased, or can be adjusted to be unbiased, then the MVUE of  $\theta$  will be obtained. Since the  $Y_i$  are iid, we find

$$E(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(Y_i^r) = E(Y_1^r) = \int_0^{\infty} y^r f_Y(y|\theta) dy = \frac{r}{\theta} \int_0^{\infty} y^{2r-1} e^{-y^r/\theta} dy.$$

To compute this integral, we use the substitution  $x = y^r$ ,  $dx = ry^{r-1}dr$  so that

$$E(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}) = \frac{r}{\theta} \int_0^{\infty} y^{2r-1} e^{-y^r/\theta} dy = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta} dx = \theta$$

since we recognize the last integral as the mean of a Gamma(1,  $\theta$ ) random variable. Therefore,

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^r$$

is the MVUE of  $\theta$ .

**(9.75) (b)** Since  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are i.i.d. Uniform(0,  $2\theta + 1$ ) random variables, then the variance of the underlying distribution is

$$\frac{(2\theta + 1)^2}{12}.$$

In part **(a)** we determined that the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta$  is

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{\max\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\} - 1}{2}.$$

Therefore, the required MLE is

$$\frac{(2\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} + 1)^2}{12} = \frac{(2 \frac{\max\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\} - 1}{2} + 1)^2}{12} = \frac{(\max\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\})^2}{12}.$$

**(9.80)** If  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are i.i.d. with common density

$$f_Y(y|\theta) = (\theta + 1)y^\theta, \quad 0 < y < 1$$

where  $\theta > -1$  is a parameter, then the likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_Y(y_i|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\theta + 1)y_i^\theta = (\theta + 1)^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^\theta.$$

The maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}$  is obtained by maximizing  $L(\theta)$ , or equivalently, by maximizing the log-likelihood function  $\ell(\theta)$  given by

$$\ell(\theta) = n \log(\theta + 1) + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \log y_i.$$

Since

$$\ell'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log y_i$$

we find  $\ell'(\theta) = 0$  when

$$\frac{n}{\theta + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log y_i = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \theta = -\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log y_i} - 1.$$

Finally

$$\ell''(\theta) = -\frac{n}{(\theta + 1)^2} < 0$$

so that by the second derivative test we conclude,

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} = -\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log Y_i} - 1.$$

Recall that in Exercise (9.61) we found

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MOM}} = \frac{2\bar{Y} - 1}{1 - \bar{Y}}.$$

(9.81) If a coin is tossed twice, then there are three possibilities for the number of heads, namely 0, 1, or 2. If the probability of flipping heads is  $p$ , then

$$P(Y = 0) = (1 - p)^2, \quad P(Y = 1) = 2p(1 - p), \quad P(Y = 2) = p^2.$$

It is a simple matter of plugging in the two possible values of  $p$ , namely  $1/4$  and  $3/4$  to determine that

- $p = 1/4$  maximizes  $P(Y = 0)$ ,
- both  $p = 1/4$  and  $p = 3/4$  maximize  $P(Y = 1)$ ,
- $p = 3/4$  maximizes  $P(Y = 2)$ .

Since the maximum likelihood estimator of  $p$  is simply the value that maximizes the likelihood function, we begin by determining the likelihood function. By definition, the likelihood function  $L(p)$  is the product of the densities of the random variables in the sample. Since there is only one random variable being observed, we find that  $L(p)$  is simply the density of  $Y$ , namely

$$P(Y = 0) = (1 - p)^2, \quad P(Y = 1) = 2p(1 - p), \quad P(Y = 2) = p^2.$$

Thus, the MLE depends on the observed value  $y$  so that

- if  $y = 0$ , then  $\hat{p}_{\text{MLE}} = 1/4$ ,
- if  $y = 1$ , then  $\hat{p}_{\text{MLE}} = 1/4$  and  $\hat{p}_{\text{MLE}} = 3/4$  (there is no unique maximum),
- if  $y = 2$ , then  $\hat{p}_{\text{MLE}} = 3/4$ .