

1. If the population mean is  $\mu$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(Y_i) = \mu$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}(\bar{Y}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(Y_i) = \frac{n \cdot \mu}{n} = \mu.$$

(That is,  $\bar{Y}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\mu$ .) In order to show that  $S^2$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ , we begin by expanding  $(Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 = Y_i^2 - 2Y_i\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}^2$ . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} S^2 &= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i^2 - 2Y_i\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}^2) = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - 2\bar{Y} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i + \bar{Y}^2 \sum_{i=1}^n 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - 2n\bar{Y}^2 + n\bar{Y}^2 \right) = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - n\bar{Y}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = n\bar{Y}.$$

If the population variance is  $\sigma^2$ , then  $\text{Var}(Y_i) = \sigma^2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , so that  $\mathbb{E}(Y_i^2) = \text{Var}(Y_i) + (\mathbb{E}(Y_i))^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(S^2) &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(Y_i^2) - n\mathbb{E}(\bar{Y}^2) \right) = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - n\mathbb{E}(\bar{Y}^2) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( n(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - n\mathbb{E}(\bar{Y}^2) \right) \\ &= \frac{n}{n-1} \left( \sigma^2 + \mu^2 - \mathbb{E}(\bar{Y}^2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

However, we still must compute  $\mathbb{E}(\bar{Y}^2)$ . As above,  $\mathbb{E}(\bar{Y}^2) = \text{Var}(\bar{Y}) + (\mathbb{E}(\bar{Y}))^2 = \text{Var}(\bar{Y}) + \mu^2$  which leaves us with  $\text{Var}(\bar{Y})$  to compute. It is common to assume that the data were collected independently of each other; that is, if  $i \neq j$ , then  $\text{Cov}(Y_i, Y_j) = 0$ . Therefore, from Theorem 5.12 (that's Stat 251 material)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\bar{Y}) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Y_i) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \text{Cov}(Y_i, Y_j) \right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Y_i) \\ &= \frac{n \cdot \sigma^2}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we conclude that  $\mathbb{E}(\bar{Y}^2) = \sigma^2/n + \mu^2$  so

$$\mathbb{E}(S^2) = \frac{n}{n-1} \left( \sigma^2 + \mu^2 - \mathbb{E}(\bar{Y}^2) \right) = \frac{n}{n-1} \left( \sigma^2 + \mu^2 - \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 \right) \right) = \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \frac{(n-1)\sigma^2}{n} = \sigma^2$$

meaning that  $S^2$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$  as required.

**2.** Let  $Y$  denote the life time of a heat lamp in this greenhouse, so that  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(50, 4)$  (in hours). If  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{25}$  represent the 25 heat lamps for this medicinal herb growing operation, then we are interested in

$$P(Y_1 + \dots + Y_{25} > 1300).$$

Since the  $Y_i$  are assumed to be i.i.d. we can conclude that

$$P\left(\frac{Y_1 + \dots + Y_{25}}{25} > \frac{1300}{25}\right) = P(\bar{Y} > 52)$$

where  $\bar{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}(50, 4/25)$ . In order to calculate  $P(\bar{Y} > 52)$  we normalize and use Table 4 so that

$$P(\bar{Y} > 52) = P\left(\frac{\bar{Y} - 50}{2/5} > \frac{52 - 50}{2/5}\right) = P(Z > 5) \approx 0$$

where  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Hence, we see that

$$P(Y_1 + \dots + Y_{25} > 1300) \approx 0;$$

that is, it is extremely unlikely that there will be a bulb burning after 1300 hours.

**3.** If  $Y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ , then the moment generating function of  $Y_1$  is

$$m_{Y_1}(t) = \exp\left\{\mu_1 t + \frac{\sigma_1^2 t^2}{2}\right\}$$

and, similarly, if  $Y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ , then the moment generating function of  $Y_2$  is

$$m_{Y_2}(t) = \exp\left\{\mu_2 t + \frac{\sigma_2^2 t^2}{2}\right\}.$$

Therefore, the moment generating function of  $Y_1 + Y_2$  is given by

$$m_{Y_1+Y_2}(t) := \mathbb{E}(e^{(Y_1+Y_2)t}) = \mathbb{E}(e^{Y_1 t}) \cdot \mathbb{E}(e^{Y_2 t})$$

since  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are independent. Hence, we find

$$\begin{aligned} m_{Y_1+Y_2}(t) &= \mathbb{E}(e^{Y_1 t}) \cdot \mathbb{E}(e^{Y_2 t}) = m_{Y_1}(t) \cdot m_{Y_2}(t) = \exp\left\{\mu_1 t + \frac{\sigma_1^2 t^2}{2}\right\} \cdot \exp\left\{\mu_2 t + \frac{\sigma_2^2 t^2}{2}\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{(\mu_1 + \mu_2)t + \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2}\right)t^2\right\} \end{aligned}$$

which we recognize as the moment generating function of a normal random variable with mean  $\mu_1 + \mu_2$  and variance  $\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2$ . That is, we have shown  $Y_1 + Y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1 + \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$  as required.

**4. (a)** Suppose that  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  random variables. As proved on January 12, 2007,

$$\bar{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

so that normalizing we conclude

$$\frac{\bar{Y} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

From the Theorem given on January 15, 2007, we know that the random variable

$$\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}$$

has a  $\chi^2(n-1)$  distribution. It also follows from this theorem that  $\bar{Y}$  and  $S^2$  are independent. It now follows from the definition of the  $t$  distribution that if  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  and  $W \sim \chi^2(\nu)$  are independent, then

$$T = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{W/\nu}} \sim t(\nu).$$

Thus, let

$$Z = \frac{\bar{Y} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{and} \quad W = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}$$

so that

$$\frac{Z}{\sqrt{W/\nu}} = \frac{\frac{\bar{Y} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}}{\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}/(n-1)}} = \frac{\bar{Y} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim t(n-1)$$

as required.

**4. (b)** Similarly,

$$\frac{(n-1)S_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(m-1)S_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} \sim \chi^2(m-1)$$

and, by assumption,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are independent. Hence, from the definition of the  $F$  distribution, we conclude that

$$\frac{\frac{(n-1)S_1^2}{\sigma_1^2}/(n-1)}{\frac{(m-1)S_2^2}{\sigma_2^2}/(m-1)} = \frac{S_1^2/\sigma_1^2}{S_2^2/\sigma_2^2} \sim F(n-1, m-1).$$

## 5. Textbook

**(7.38)** Suppose that  $W_i = X_i - Y_i$ . Since  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  are all independent and identically distributed, so too are  $W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n$ . Thus we find  $E(W_i) = E(X_i - Y_i) = E(X_i) - E(Y_i) = \mu_1 - \mu_2$  and

$$\text{Var}(W_i) = \text{Var}(X_i - Y_i) = \text{Var}(X_i) + \text{Var}(Y_i) - 2\text{Cov}(X_i, Y_i) = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2$$

using Theorem 5.12 and the fact that  $\text{Cov}(X_i, Y_i) = 0$  since  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  are independent. If

$$\bar{W} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i,$$

then since the  $W_i$  are iid, we conclude

$$E(\bar{W}) = \mu_1 - \mu_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(\bar{W}) = \frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}{n}.$$

Hence, we can now apply Theorem 7.4 to the normalized random variables

$$U_n = \frac{\bar{W} - E(\bar{W})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\bar{W})}} = \frac{\bar{W} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)/n}} = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)/n}}$$

and conclude that the distribution of  $U_n$  converges to  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

(7.40) Using the same notation as in (7.38), we find that if the sample sizes differ, then

$$\text{Var}(\bar{W}) = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}.$$

Therefore, if

$$U_n = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

then  $U_n$  again converges in distribution to  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . In order to compute the required probability, we simply normalize to obtain a random variable which is (approximately) a standard normal so that we can use Table 4. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} P(|(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)| \leq 0.05) &= P\left(\left|\frac{(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}\right| \leq \frac{0.05}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}\right) \\ &= P\left(\left|\frac{(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{0.01}{50} + \frac{0.02}{100}}}\right| \leq \frac{0.05}{\sqrt{\frac{0.01}{50} + \frac{0.02}{100}}}\right) \\ &\approx P(|Z| \leq 2.5) \\ &\approx 1 - 2(0.0062) = 0.9876 \end{aligned}$$

where  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

(7.41) If  $n_1 = n_2 = n$ , then we are trying to find the value of  $n$  such that

$$P(|(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)| \leq 0.04) = 0.90.$$

Now, if we normalize (and write  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ ), then we obtain

$$P\left(|Z| \leq \frac{0.04}{\sqrt{\frac{0.01}{n} + \frac{0.02}{n}}}\right) = 0.90.$$

But from Table 4 we find that  $P(|Z| \leq 1.645) \approx 0.90$ , which implies that

$$\frac{0.04}{\sqrt{\frac{0.01}{n} + \frac{0.02}{n}}} \approx 1.645.$$

Solving for  $n$  gives  $n \approx 50.74$ . Thus, we need each sample to contain at least 51 data points.

## 6. Textbook

(6.64) If  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  are all independent and identically distributed beta(2, 2) random variables, then each has density function

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{\Gamma(4)}{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(2)}y(1-y) = 6y(1-y), \quad 0 < y < 1.$$

(a) If  $Y_{(n)} = \max\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ , then

$$P(Y_{(n)} \leq t) = P(Y_1 \leq t, \dots, Y_n \leq t) = P(Y_1 \leq t) \cdots P(Y_n \leq t) = [P(Y_1 \leq t)]^n$$

since the  $Y_i$  are independent (the second equality) and identically distributed (the third equality). Now, for any  $0 < t < 1$ ,

$$P(Y_1 \leq t) = \int_0^t f_Y(y) dy = \int_0^t 6y(1-y) dt = \int_0^t 6y dy - \int_0^t 6y^2 dy = 3t^2 - 2t^3 = t^2(3-2t)$$

so that the distribution function of  $Y_{(n)}$  is

$$F(t) = [P(Y_{(n)} \leq t)]^n = t^{2n}(3-2t)^n, \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

(Of course,  $F(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 0$ , and  $F(t) = 1$  for  $t \geq 1$ .)

(b) The density function of  $Y_{(n)}$  is therefore

$$f(t) = \frac{d}{dt}F(t) = \frac{d}{dt}t^{2n}(3-2t)^n = 2nt^{2n-1}(3-2t)^n - 2nt^{2n}(3-2t)^{n-1} = 6nt^{2n-1}(3-2t)^{n-1}(1-t)$$

for  $0 < t < 1$  and 0 otherwise.

(c) For  $n = 2$ , the expected value  $E(Y_{(2)})$  is

$$E(Y_{(2)}) = \int_0^1 t f(t) dt = \int_0^1 12t^4(3-2t)(1-t) dt = \int_0^1 (36t^4 - 60t^5 + 24t^6) dt = \frac{36}{5} - \frac{60}{6} + \frac{24}{7} = \frac{22}{35}.$$

**(6.65)** If  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  are all independent and identically distributed exponential( $\beta$ ) random variables, then each has density function

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\beta}e^{-y/\beta}, \quad 0 < y < \infty.$$

(a) If  $Y_{(1)} = \min\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ , then

$$P(Y_{(1)} > t) = P(Y_1 > t, \dots, Y_n > t) = P(Y_1 > t) \cdots P(Y_n > t) = [P(Y_1 > t)]^n$$

since the  $Y_i$  are independent (the second equality) and identically distributed (the third equality). Now, for any  $0 < t < \infty$ ,

$$P(Y_1 > t) = \int_t^\infty f_Y(y) dy = \frac{1}{\beta} \int_t^\infty e^{-y/\beta} dy = e^{-t/\beta}$$

so that

$$P(Y_{(1)} > t) = e^{-tn/\beta}.$$

Thus, the distribution function of  $Y_{(n)}$  is

$$F(t) = P(Y_{(1)} \leq t) = 1 - P(Y_{(1)} > t) = 1 - e^{-tn/\beta} = 1 - e^{-t/(\beta/n)}$$

which is the distribution function of an exponential random variable with mean  $\beta/n$ .

(b) If  $n = 5$ ,  $\beta = 2$ , then the distribution function of  $Y_{(1)}$  is

$$F(t) = 1 - e^{-5t/2}, \quad 0 < t < \infty$$

so that the corresponding density function is

$$f(t) = \frac{5}{2}e^{-5t/2}, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Hence,

$$P(Y_{(1)} \leq 3.6) = \frac{5}{2} \int_0^{3.6} e^{-5t/2} dt = -e^{-5t/2} \Big|_0^{3.6} = 1 - e^{-9}.$$