## Statistics 252 Winter 2006 Midterm #2 – Solutions

**1. (a)** Since

 $\log f(y|\theta) = \log(\theta + 1) + \theta \log(y)$ 

we find

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(y|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta+1} + \log(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(y|\theta) = -\frac{1}{(\theta+1)^2}.$$

Thus,

$$I(\theta) = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^2}\log f(Y|\theta)\right) = \frac{1}{(\theta+1)^2}.$$

(b) To find  $\hat{\theta}_{MOM}$  we solve the equation  $E(Y) = \overline{Y}$  for  $\theta$ . Since

$$E(Y) = \int_0^1 y f(y|\theta) \, dy = (\theta+1) \int_0^1 y^{\theta+1} \, dy = \left(\frac{\theta+1}{\theta+2}\right) y^{\theta+2} \Big|_0^1 = \frac{\theta+1}{\theta+2}$$

we conclude that

$$\frac{\theta+1}{\theta+2} = \overline{Y}.$$

Solving for  $\theta$  yields

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MOM}} = \frac{2\overline{Y} - 1}{1 - \overline{Y}}.$$

**2.** Let  $U = Y/\theta$  so that for  $0 \le u \le 1$ ,

$$P(U \le u) = P(Y \le \theta u) = \int_0^{\theta u} 2\theta^{-2} y \, dy = \frac{y^2}{\theta^2} \Big|_0^{\theta u} = u^2.$$

The density function of U is therefore  $f_U(u) = 2u$  for  $0 \le u \le 1$ . Thus, we must find a and b so that

$$\int_0^a 2u \, du = \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_b^1 2u \, du = \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Computing the integrals we find  $a^2 = \alpha/2$  and  $1 - b^2 = \alpha/2$ . Hence,

$$1 - \alpha = P(a \le U \le b) = P\left(\sqrt{\alpha/2} \le \frac{Y}{\theta} \le \sqrt{1 - \alpha/2}\right) = P\left(\frac{Y}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha/2}} \le \theta \le \frac{Y}{\sqrt{\alpha/2}}\right).$$

In other words,

$$\left(\frac{Y}{\sqrt{1-\alpha/2}}, \frac{Y}{\sqrt{\alpha/2}}\right)$$

is a confidence interval for  $\theta$  with coverage probability  $1 - \alpha$ .

**3. (a)** Since

$$\log f(y|\theta) = y \log(\theta) - y - \log(y!)$$

we find

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(y|\theta) = \frac{y}{\theta}$$
 and  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(y|\theta) = -\frac{y}{\theta^2}.$ 

Thus,

$$I(\theta) = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^2}\log f(Y|\theta)\right) = \frac{E(Y)}{\theta^2} = \frac{\theta}{\theta^2} = \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

(b) If  $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$ , then since  $E(Y) = \theta$  we find that setting  $E(Y) = \overline{Y}$  gives

$$\hat{\theta}_{MOM} = \overline{Y}.$$

(c) Since  $E(Y_1) = \theta$ , we conclude that

$$E(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MOM}}) = E(\overline{Y}) = E\left(\frac{Y_1 + \dots + Y_n}{n}\right) = E(Y_1) = \theta$$

so that  $\hat{\theta}_{MOM}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .

(d) Since  $Var(Y_1) = \theta$ , and since the  $Y_i$  are iid, we conclude

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{MOM}}) = \operatorname{Var}(\overline{Y}) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{Y_1 + \dots + Y_n}{n}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{Var}(Y_1)}{n} = \frac{\theta}{n}$$

(e) The Cramer-Rao inequality tells us that an unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  of  $\theta$  must satisfy

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}) \ge \frac{1}{nI(\theta)} = \frac{\theta}{n}$$

since we found in (a) that  $I(\theta) = 1/\theta$ . From (c) we know that  $\hat{\theta}_{MOM}$  is unbiased, and from (d) we know that

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{MOM}}) = \frac{\theta}{n}$$

Hence, we have found an unbiased estimator, namely  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MOM}}$ , whose variance attains the lower bound of the Cramer-Rao inequality. Hence,  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MOM}}$  must be the MVUE of  $\theta$ .

**4. (a)** We see that since the  $Y_i$  are iid,

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{p}) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{Y_1 + \dots + Y_n}{n}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{Var}(Y_1)}{n} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}.$$

Let g(p) = p(1-p)/n so that g'(p) = (1-2p)/n. Therefore, we conclude that g'(p) = 0 when 1-2p = 0 or when p = 1/2. Since g''(p) = -2/n < 0, the second derivative test implies that p = 1/2 is the global maximum for g. Thus, the maximum value of  $Var(\hat{p})$  occurs when p = 1/2.

(b) Suppose that both  $\hat{\theta}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2$  are unbiased estimators of  $\theta$ . The relative efficiency of  $\hat{\theta}_1$  to  $\hat{\theta}_2$ , is defined as

$$\operatorname{eff}(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{\operatorname{Var}(\theta_1)}{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2)}$$

Therefore, if  $eff(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2) < 1$ , then we conclude that  $Var(\hat{\theta}_1) < Var(\hat{\theta}_2)$  so that  $\hat{\theta}_1$  is the preferred estimator. Conversely, if  $eff(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2) > 1$ , then we conclude that  $Var(\hat{\theta}_1) > Var(\hat{\theta}_2)$  so that in this case  $\hat{\theta}_2$  is preferred.