

**1.**

- (a) An approximate 95% confidence interval for the true daily parking revenues is

$$\left( \bar{X} - z_{0.025} \cdot \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X} + z_{0.025} \cdot \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

or

$$\left( 126 - 1.96 \cdot \frac{15}{\sqrt{44}}, 126 + 1.96 \cdot \frac{15}{\sqrt{44}} \right)$$

or

$$(121.6, 130.4).$$

- (b) Based on the sample mean obtained, we are 95% confident that the true mean lies between 121.6 and 130.4.
- (c) Yes, the consultant could have been correct since the predicted average of \$130 per day falls within the 95% confidence interval.

**2.**

- (a) Let  $X$  denote the IQ score of one randomly selected child from this population so that  $X$  is normal with mean 100 and standard deviation 16. Therefore,

$$P(80 < X < 120) = P\left(\frac{80 - 100}{16} < \frac{X - 100}{16} < \frac{120 - 100}{16}\right) = P(-1.25 < Z < 1.25) = 0.7888$$

where the last equality followed from Table E.

- (b) If  $\bar{X}$  denotes the average IQ score for these five randomly selected children, then  $\bar{X}$  is normal with mean 100 and standard deviation  $16/\sqrt{5}$ . Therefore,

$$P(80 < \bar{X} < 120) = P\left(\frac{80 - 100}{16/\sqrt{5}} < \frac{\bar{X} - 100}{16/\sqrt{5}} < \frac{120 - 100}{16/\sqrt{5}}\right) = P(-2.80 < Z < 2.80) = 0.9948.$$

- (c) From (a), we conclude that the probability one child has a score less than 80 is  $p = (1 - 0.7888)/2 = 0.1056$ . Therefore, the probability that one of them will have an IQ score of 80 or less and four will have IQ scores higher than 80 is

$$\binom{5}{1} p(1-p)^4 = \frac{5!}{1!4!} (0.8944)^4 (0.1056) = 0.3379.$$

- 3.** An approximate 95% confidence interval for the delay time of Airline A is

$$\left( \bar{X} - t_{0.025,19} \cdot \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X} + t_{0.025,19} \cdot \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

or

$$\left( 30 - 2.093 \cdot \frac{10}{\sqrt{20}}, 30 + 2.093 \cdot \frac{10}{\sqrt{20}} \right)$$

or

$$(25.3, 34.7).$$

An approximate 95% confidence interval for the delay time of Airline W is

$$\left( \bar{X} - t_{0.025,19} \cdot \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X} + t_{0.025,19} \cdot \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

or

$$\left( 20 - 2.093 \cdot \frac{15}{\sqrt{20}}, 20 + 2.093 \cdot \frac{15}{\sqrt{20}} \right)$$

or

$$(13.0, 27.0).$$

Since the two 95% confidence intervals overlap, we are 95% confident that there is no significant difference in the true mean delay times for Airline A and Airline W. Therefore, if the decision to recommend an airline is made on the basis of the mean waiting time only, then both airlines are equivalent. Flip a coin to pick one! (Of course, other correct answers are valid. I chose to use a  $t$ -based confidence interval since the data are only approximately normally distributed, and there is a small sample size. If you want to argue that the population is exactly normal with a known standard deviation so that a  $z$ -based confidence is appropriate, that would be acceptable.)

#### 4.

(a) The approximate distribution of the mean NOX emission level  $\bar{X}$  for the company's cars is normal with mean 0.9 grams per kilometre and standard deviation  $0.15/\sqrt{125}$  grams per kilometre.

(b) We seek  $L$  such that  $P(\bar{X} > L) = 0.01$ . Normalizing gives

$$P(\bar{X} > L) = P\left(\frac{\bar{X} - 0.9}{0.15/\sqrt{125}} > \frac{L - 0.9}{0.15/\sqrt{125}}\right) = P\left(Z > \frac{L - 0.9}{0.15/\sqrt{125}}\right) = 0.01.$$

From Table E we find  $P(Z > 2.33) = 0.01$ . This then gives

$$\frac{L - 0.9}{0.15/\sqrt{125}} = 2.33$$

so that  $L = 0.9312$ .

**5.** Let  $p$  denote the true proportion of spinach plants who are adversely affected by sulfur dioxide. *You then need to make a decision as to what is an appropriate rate of severe leaf damage is.* I believe that it is reasonable to assume that sulfur dioxide has no effect if at most  $1/3$  of spinach plants are affected. Therefore,

- $H_0$  : no effect:  $p \leq 1/3$ ,
- $H_1$  : an adverse effect:  $p > 1/3$ .